PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 3 0 SEP 2005

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	T				
PWO051537	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/US2004/018512	International filing date (i	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.06.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
A61J7/04, H05K1/00					
		<u> </u>			
Applicant MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION ET AL.					
MEADVESTVACO CONTONATION ET AL.					
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination re nsmitted to the applican	port, established by th t according to Article 3	is International Preliminary Examining 86.		
. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	a. Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
☐ sheets which superse	de earlier sheets, but wi	nich this Authority cons	siders contain an amendment that goes		
Supplemental Box.	in the international app	lication as filed, as ind	licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b. (sent to the International E	Bureau only) a total of (in	ndicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a nonly, as indicated in the Supplemental		
Box Relating to Sequence	Listing (see Section 80	2 of the Administrative	nonly, as indicated in the Supplemental planstructions).		
4. This report contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:			
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	inion				
☐ Box No. II Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention				
☐ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cli	ement under Article 35(2 tations and explanations	 with regard to novelt supporting such state 	ty, inventive step or industrial ment		
Box No. VI Certain docum					
	in the international app				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	his report		
10.01.2005		04.10.2005			
Nome and mailing address of the later state	nol .				
Name and malling address of the internation preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Signature Patenteen.		
European Patent Office - P.E NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays	3. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Bas	Cametz C	· M1		
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	1 651 epo nl	Carnetz, C			
101 / 0 070 - 0010		Telephone No. +31 70	340-3434 **********************************		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/018512

	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
١.	With regard filed, unless	I to the language , this s otherwise indicated	s report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.
	which i □ inte □ pub	is the language of a tr rnational search (und dication of the interna	slations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of: er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	have been	furnished to the recei	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):
	Description	, Pages	
	1-10		as originally filed
	Claims, Nur	nbers	
	1-18		as originally filed
	Claims, Pag	jes	
	1-16		received on 11.04.2005 with letter of 11.04.2005
	Drawings, S	Sheets	
	1/3-3/3		as originally filed
	□ a sequ	ience listing and/or ar	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing <i>(spe</i>	
4.	had not be Supplemen the the	en made, since they let all Box (Rule 70.2(c) description, pages claims, Nos. edrawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (spe	· •
			ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/018512

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

9-15

No: Claims

1-8,16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 00/18350 A (EHRENSVAERD JAKOB; CYPAK AB (SE); GRIP STINA (SE)) 6 April 2000 (2000-04-06)
 - D2: EP-A-1 214 924 (FLESCHNER WILHELM; HAFNER DIETER (DE)) 19 June 2002 (2002-06-19)
 - D3: US 2002/017996 A1 (NIEMIEC MARK A) 14 February 2002 (2002-02-14)
- 2. As far as the independent claims 1, 5, 9 may be understood in view of their lack of clarity (see item VIII below), the following is respectfully submitted.
- 2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses (see, page 4, lines 18 to 30, and figures; the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 2.1.1 a package with electronic circuitry, comprising:
 - a first card (54) comprising at least one open cell (58);
 - a second card (72) comprising at least one protruding receptacle having an open side, wherein said protruding receptacle is matingly nested within said open cell;
 - a third card comprising a first closed cell, mated to said second card (72) such that said first closed cell spans across said open side (derivable from page 4 lines 26 and 27); and
 - a fourth card (56) comprising a second cell (62) and circuitry (60), mated to said third card such that said second closed cell is breachably aligned with said first closed cell;

wherein said cards are non conductive

It is in fact unambiguously derivable from D1, that the blister package (70) is

made of blisters (72) and a closing foil.

The closing foil is not mentioned **as such** but is unambiguously derivable from the description of D1, first of all because without a closing foil, a "withdrawal region" for the blisters (as mentioned on page 4 lines 26 and 27 of D1) could not exist, then because it appears necessary to provide a closing foil to form the complete blister package.

Furthermore it obviously appears that the cards in D1 (sheets, blisters, withdrawal region for the medicine in the blister, cover) are non conductive, because the arrangement of said cards in combination with the conductors (conductor (60) and associated circuit) does not allow any other technical possibility (it would, namely, not be possible to place a conductive card on top of the conductors without creating a short circuit).

- 2.1.2 This disclosure therefore corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 1, which is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.2 Concerning independent claim 5, it appears that it defines the same general subject-matter as independent claim 1, but with a different wording. In view of that, the objection of lack of novelty raised for claim 1 (see paragraph 2.1 above) applies mutatis mutandis to claim 5.
- 3. Concerning the subject-matter of independent claim 9, the document D1 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art and discloses (see D1, page 4, lines 18 to 30, and figures; the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 3.1 a package with electronic circuitry, comprising:
 - a first card (70) comprising at least one protruding receptacle (72) having an open side;
 - a protective layer (derivable from page 4 lines 26 and 27, see also paragraph 2.1.1 above) mated to said first card *such that said protective layer spans across said open side*; and
 - a second card comprising a closed cell (62), and circuitry (60); and,

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/018512

wherein said second card is mated to said first card (figures)

from which the subject-matter of claim 9 differs in that the protective layer of the blister is conductive; and in that the second card is with a dielectric overlay such that said dielectric overlay is adjacent to said protective layer and said closed cell is breachably aligned with said open side.

- 3.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as preventing electrical interferences between blister and electronic circuitry.
- 3.3 The solution proposed in claim 9 of the present application can however not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

Features of a dielectric overlay associated with a conductive protective layer of a blister is described in document D3 as providing the same advantages as in the present application (see especially paragraph [0035], and the beginning of paragraph [0036] of D3).

It is also clearly described in said document D3 that in the case of a non-conductive protective layer of the blister, the dielectric overlay is not necessary (such as disclosed in the present application, as well).

The skilled person would therefore regard it as a normal option to include this feature in the package arrangement described in document D1 in order to solve the problem posed.

- 4. Concerning the subject-matter of independent claim 16, the document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses (see D1, page 4, lines 18 to 30, and figures; the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 4.1 a method of monitoring the removal of an item from a receptacle, comprising: providing a receptacle (54) with an open side to receive and store an item; inserting said item (72) into said receptacle (54); blocking said open side with a first card (70) comprising a first breachable gate (page 4 lines 26 and 27);

mating a second card (56), comprising a circuit that spans a second breachable gate (62), to said first card such that said first and second breachable gates are aligned, forcing said item from an end opposite said open side, through said breachable gates to break said circuit (60),

recording the event of breaking said circuit, and removing said item from said receptacle wherein said cards are non conductive.

- 4.2 This disclosure corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 16, which is therefore not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT (see also the comments made in respect of claim 1 in paragraph 2.1.1 above).
- 5. Dependent claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see D1, page 4, lines 18 to 30, and figures, for claims 2 to 4, 6 to 8, 10 to 15; and D3, paragraphs [0035], [0036], [0038], and figures, for claims 10 to 15.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 5, 9, 15 are not clear.
 - Some of the features in the apparatus claims 1, 5, 9, 15 relate to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features (see for example the expressions: "is matingly nested within", "is mated to", "is applied"). The intended limitations are therefore not clear from these claims, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 2. For the present examination report, it is assumed that dependent claim 12 should be read: "wherein said **second** card includes an electronic monitoring device, receiving area in communication with said circuitry", because of the dependency of claim 12 on claim 9.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/018512